ALLMIGHT

Mission-critical companies need a clear path to Super Intelligence.

The **Defense Industrial Base** includes roughly 300,000 companies and suppliers. Adopting Super Intelligence (SI) in defense and other mission-critical industries means meeting **data, security and operating requirements**, not just generating code. We see making that transition practical as a national-security and industrial-capacity priority.

We're building a software factory so a **non-technical person** can describe a complex business or engineering problem and build a **first working version in hours**. Our SI pipeline will handle the **complex engineering**, **security testing** and **regulatory compliance checks** behind the scenes, from software design through production deployment.

Mission-critical solutions a defense supplier could build with ALLMIGHT include:


- **Production-recovery apps:** replan critical jobs after breakdowns or shortages
- **Defect-containment apps:** trace suspect parts and flag shipments for review
- **Design-change impact apps:** flag jobs and inspections affected by drawing changes

Defense suppliers should be able to build the tools their work demands without hiring a software team for every workflow. ALLMIGHT will provide the platform to securely build, test and deploy mission-critical apps with local on-prem or government-cloud models. We see a future where complex problems can be solved by the people doing the work.

ROADMAP & EXECUTION

Proposed targets · kickoff 1 Jan 2027


Phase 01 **Q2 2027**



Online

Build and pilot mission-critical apps within customer-controlled clouds.

Phase 02 **Q4 2027**



Offline


Develop air-gapped, deep-space and mission-critical runtime.

ALLMIGHT

DEFENSE SUPPLIERS → REGULATED INDUSTRY

Private AI software for the defense supply chain.

Early prototype. Intended apps and company-specific agents.
Not a qualified deployment.



Illustrative environment

THE PROBLEM

A drawing changes. The job does not.

STILL ON RECORD

Rev B

Traveler and inspection evidence still point to the prior drawing.

Mismatch

What work is affected?

CHANGED

Rev C

The drawing moved. Linked work has not.

How often this happens is not shown here.

Intended job.
Not yet shown.

CONCEPT SEQUENCE · NOT A DEMONSTRATED WORKFLOW

Changed drawing

Rev C is on the drawing.
The traveler may not be.

→

Affected work

The open question.
Not shown as working.

→

Human release

A person decides.
That authority stays put.

Apps and company-specific agents are the intended platform. They are not this example, and they are not demonstrated.

Intended platform scope. Production self-hosting, agent training/configuration and private deployment qualification remain to be proved.

EARLY DEMAND SIGNALS

Unpaid. Conditional. $0 product revenue.

2

Unpaid defense-company design partners

1

Conditional annual launch-partner LOI

Founder-reported: the LOI partner is one of the two. Payment starts only at production readiness. Current product revenue: **$0**.

Founder-reported company facts. The LOI is unpaid and conditional; it is not a paying customer, current ARR or proof of deployment.

MARKET ENTRY

Start with the person who owns the review.

STILL UNVALIDATED

Budget owner unknown. Workflow not accepted. Reachable count unknown.

The first proof is one partner-accepted drawing-change review, not a market model.

UNVALIDATED BUYER HYPOTHESIS

01 **Drawing-change review owner**

Likely engineering or quality. Budget owner not confirmed.

02 **Controlled supplier work**

Customer-approved models and deployment routes.

03 **Then the next internal gap**

Expansion is a hypothesis, not a market.

DoD DIB Cybersecurity Strategy 2024, p. 35: about 300,000 defense companies and their suppliers. Ecosystem context only. Not TAM or buyers.

THE JOB TO PROVE

Four steps. None demonstrated.

01

Drawing

Moves to Rev C.

02

Traveler

May still say Rev B.

03

The gap

Which jobs are affected?

04

Release

A person decides.

Concept sequence. Not a capture of the hosted prototype, and not a claim of what that prototype runs.

CONTROL IS PART OF THE PRODUCT

Design target. Not qualified yet.

DESIGN TARGET · NOT A QUALIFIED PRIVATE DEPLOYMENT

- Company knowledge
- Approved model routes
- Authorized tools

Apps + company-specific agents

Source revision → Checks + evidence → Human decision

Review before release.

Release authority stays with the customer.

Local models or approved cloud inference. The deployment determines the allowed routes.

Design target. No qualified private deployment, disconnected operation, CUI suitability, ITAR authorization or FedRAMP status is asserted.

BUSINESS MODEL

The offer. Nothing paid yet.

CURRENT LAUNCH-PARTNER OFFER

$50k / year

Platform, implementation, updates and support.
The offer is priced to renew. No customer has renewed.

Infrastructure and model usage are customer-paid separately.

WHAT IS NOT PROVEN

No paid customer.

Payment on the LOI starts only at production readiness. That gate is not defined here.

Illustrative arithmetic only, not a plan: 100 × $50k = $5M.
Current product revenue is $0.

Owner-confirmed pricing: the full package renews annually. That is the offer, not a customer renewal. LOI payment depends on production readiness. Installation cost, support burden and margin remain unvalidated.

GO TO MARKET

One workflow. Not accepted yet.

PROPOSED COMMERCIALIZATION PATH

01

Candidate, not accepted.

Drawing-change review is the candidate. No partner has accepted it.

02

Prove the profile.

Private operation, checks and installation are not qualified.

03

See if anyone pays.

Convert conditional interest, observe repeat use and measure delivery economics.

Proposed path, not completed milestones. First partner workflow, active user counts and repeatable deployment economics are not yet established.

COMPETITIVE POSITION TO PROVE

Compete on one supplier workflow.

The gap is between the changed drawing and the traveler still on the prior revision.

ESTABLISHED ALTERNATIVES

ERP / PLM

The underlying systems of record.

Private app and workflow builders

Existing options for customer-controlled tooling.

Bespoke development

Software delivered for a particular process.

Positioning hypothesis. Not a vendor audit, and not an established moat.

ALLMIGHT's intended wedge

Find affected work when a drawing changes.

That job is the wedge to prove.

Whether it wins against the alternatives is unknown.

On-premises alone is not a unique advantage.

FOUNDER

Built by an IT and security operator.

Danny Mehditash

Solo founder, ALLMIGHT
CISSP · CCISO

01 **Co-founded MARFI**
Managed IT and security operations.

02 **Works at the operating boundary**
Software, infrastructure and customer control.

03 **Built the early prototype**
AI-assisted development and direct design-partner work.

MARFI is a separate company. This background does not imply product readiness, MARFI revenue, or a customer endorsement. No defense-manufacturing operator is on this page.

PRE-SEED DISCUSSION

Build the first supported private deployment.

Check size is unset. A meeting can test three missing facts: what the hosted prototype runs, which workflow a partner accepts, and what production readiness means for the LOI.

PRODUCT

One supported operating profile.

CUSTOMER

Validate one real partner workflow.

BUSINESS

Measure paid adoption and delivery cost.

danny@allmight.ai

allmight.ai ↗

Investment discussion only. Round amount, valuation, terms, budget and milestones would be agreed through a costed plan; none is asserted here.

SOURCES AND PRODUCT STATUS

What the evidence supports.

Market context

DoD DIB Cybersecurity Strategy 2024, p. 35: the DIB includes approximately 300,000 defense companies and their suppliers. Not manufacturers, buyers, or TAM. GAO-26-107955 uses a narrower count of about 200,000 private companies.
GAO / CMMC implementation report

Company and commercial facts

Founder-reported: two unpaid design partners; one conditional $50,000/year launch-partner LOI; payments at production readiness; $0 product revenue. The full $50,000 package is priced to renew annually. That is offer structure, not a customer renewal. The LOI was not independently inspected.

Prototype versus intended platform

An early hosted prototype exists. Interface image is a separate brand/layout study with illustrative records. Production self-hosting, company-specific agent training/configuration and qualified private operation remain under development. No CUI, air-gap, ITAR or FedRAMP claim.

Model, target and artwork

Annual package includes implementation, updates and support; infrastructure/model costs are separate. 100 × $50,000 = $5M ARR is an illustrative goal, not current ARR or a forecast. Manufacturing scene is fictional concept imagery, not a customer facility. No customer logos, endorsements or investment acceptance.

Prepared November 2026. Sources for the claims above.